

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

RENEE BISHOP-MCKEAN,

CASE NO. C20-5416JLR

Plaintiff,

## MINUTE ORDER

V.

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT  
OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

## Defendants.

The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable James L. Robart:

The court is in receipt of Plaintiff Renee Bishop-McKean’s “objection to [the court’s] order adopting [Magistrate Judge David W. Christel’s report and recommendation] and notice of appeal.” (Obj. (Dkt. # 138); R&R (Dkt. # 136).) Based on the contents of that pleading, it appears that Ms. Bishop-McKean received a copy of the proposed order adopting the report and recommendation and the proposed judgment,

1 but not a copy of the report and recommendation itself. (*See generally* Obj. at 1-2  
2 (stating that she received a page titled “[J]udgment” and a page granting “Defendants[’]  
3 motion for summary judgment” without any reasoning, but that “there was no report and  
4 recommendation given to [her]”).) Accordingly, the Clerk provided Ms. Bishop-McKean  
5 a copy of the report and recommendation on July 26, 2022. (7/26/22 Letter (Dkt. # 139).)

6 Because the court has not yet adopted the report and recommendation (*see*  
7 *generally* Dkt.), and because Ms. Bishop-McKean appears to have not timely received  
8 the report and recommendation, the court GRANTS Ms. Bishop-McKean additional time  
9 to file specific objections to the report and recommendation. Ms. Bishop-McKean shall  
10 file her specific objections to the report and recommendation, if any, by August 12, 2022.  
11 If Ms. Bishop-McKean declines to do so, the court will construe Ms. Bishop-McKean’s  
12 filing at docket 138 as a general objection to Magistrate Judge Christel’s report and  
13 recommendation.

14 Filed and entered this 1st day of August, 2022.

15 RAVI SUBRAMANIAN  
16 Clerk of Court

17 s/ Ashleigh Drecktrah  
18 Deputy Clerk